Collegiate Research Journals

OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Applied Sciences Research
Vol 2(1) pp. 001-009 April, 2022.
https://collegiatejournals.us/ajasr
Copyright © 2022 Collegiate Research Journals

Full Length Manuscript

Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Development in Kenya: Ecosystems, Wildlife, Communities and Governance

Kamau Njoroge* and Kariuki Macharia

Accepted 10th February 2022

Abstract

Kenya is internationally recognized for its exceptional biological diversity, including savannas, montane forests, coastal and marine ecosystems, freshwater systems, drylands and rangelands. Biodiversity supports tourism, agriculture, water security, livelihoods, cultural values and ecosystem resilience, yet these benefits are increasingly exposed to habitat conversion, fragmentation, overexploitation, invasive species, pollution, climate change and human–wildlife conflict. This article reviews the major dimensions of biodiversity conservation in Kenya and examines the relationship between ecological integrity, livelihoods and governance. Drawing on national biodiversity strategies, government and conservation reports, international assessments and peer-reviewed literature, the review synthesizes evidence on forest and montane ecosystems, rangelands and wildlife corridors, freshwater and coastal systems, community-based conservation, ecosystem services, protected-area management and biodiversity governance. Kenya's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) 2019–2030 provides a policy framework for conservation, sustainable use and equitable benefit-sharing, while protected areas and community conservancies provide complementary approaches to landscape-scale management (Republic of Kenya, 2019). The review argues that biodiversity policy should increasingly move from an isolated protected-area model toward connected, multi-use landscapes in which restoration, ecological connectivity, community rights, sustainable tourism, climate adaptation and biodiversity monitoring are jointly planned. Particular attention is required for biodiversity-rich forests, coastal ecosystems, drylands and wildlife dispersal areas where ecological and socioeconomic pressures intersect. The paper proposes a strategic framework organized around five priorities: protecting remaining intact habitats, restoring degraded ecosystems, securing ecological connectivity, strengthening inclusive governance and financing, and improving biodiversity information for adaptive management. The analysis concludes that Kenya's biodiversity agenda is inseparable from sustainable development and that long-term conservation outcomes depend on institutional coordination and meaningful participation and benefit-sharing with communities living alongside biodiversity.

Keywords: Kenya; conservation; wildlife; forests; rangelands; ecosystem services; protected areas; community conservation; ecological connectivity; restoration; climate change

1. Introduction

Biodiversity encompasses variation within species, among species and across ecosystems. In Kenya, this diversity is expressed across a striking range of ecological zones, from arid and semi-arid rangelands to montane forests, freshwater systems, coastal forests, coral and marine environments, wetlands and productive agricultural landscapes. National biodiversity documentation emphasizes that these ecosystems provide ecological, economic, social and cultural benefits and are central to sustainable development (Republic of Kenya, 2019; NEMA, 2021).

Kenya's conservation history has often been associated with iconic wildlife and protected areas. However, biodiversity is not confined to national parks and reserves. Wildlife and ecological processes frequently extend into community lands, private conservancies, agricultural mosaics, forests outside strict protected areas, wetlands and coastal landscapes. Kenya's wildlife conservation system therefore increasingly combines state-managed protected areas with community-led conservancies and other landscape-level approaches (KWS, 2026).

The policy challenge is consequently multidimensional. Conservation must address habitat loss and fragmentation while also responding to poverty, land-use change, infrastructure development, resource dependence, climate variability and human–wildlife conflict. The NBSAP 2019–2030 identifies implementation responsibilities across national and county governments, research and academic institutions, civil society, private actors and community organizations, illustrating the cross-sectoral character of biodiversity governance (Republic of Kenya, 2019).

2. Conceptual Framework

2.1 Biodiversity as natural capital and ecological infrastructure

Biodiversity can be treated both as an intrinsic conservation objective and as a component of natural capital. Ecosystems generate provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural services, including food, water, pollination, soil formation, carbon storage, recreation and cultural identity. In Kenya, montane forests are particularly important for water regulation and downstream economic activity, while wildlife and scenic landscapes support tourism (UNEP, 2012).

2.2 The landscape approach

A landscape approach recognizes that biodiversity outcomes are shaped by interactions among protected areas, farms, settlements, grazing lands, forests, infrastructure and water systems. Ecological connectivity is especially important where wildlife and other species move seasonally between habitats. Kenya's national work on wildlife corridors and dispersal areas demonstrates that connectivity loss can undermine ecological integrity and intensify human–wildlife conflict (Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, 2017).

2.3 Social–ecological resilience

Conservation is more durable when ecological objectives are compatible with local livelihoods and institutions. Community-based conservation, participatory forest management and equitable benefit-sharing can create incentives for stewardship, although outcomes depend on tenure security, institutional capacity, distribution of benefits and accountability.

3. Methodology

This study uses a narrative review methodology. Evidence was synthesized from Kenya's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan, national environmental and biodiversity reports, Kenya Wildlife Service materials, international organizations including the Convention on Biological Diversity and UNEP, conservation-sector publications, and peer-reviewed research on Kenyan ecosystems. Sources were selected for their relevance to biodiversity status, ecosystem services, threats, governance and conservation responses. The study does not present original field data or claim a systematic meta-analysis. Quantitative indicators are reported only where the cited source provides them, and differences in definitions or reporting years are noted.

4. Biodiversity and Major Ecosystems in Kenya

Kenya contains a mosaic of terrestrial, freshwater and marine ecosystems. The ecological significance of this diversity lies not simply in the number of species but also in the presence of endemic species, ecological processes and habitats that provide services beyond their immediate boundaries. National biodiversity reporting identifies forests, rangelands, wetlands, inland waters, coastal and marine ecosystems and agricultural landscapes as important components of the country's biodiversity system (Republic of Kenya, 2019; NEMA, 2021).

Ecosystem / landscape	Biodiversity significance	Major ecosystem services	Key pressures
Montane forests / water towers	High habitat diversity; endemic and threatened species; headwater catchments	Water regulation, carbon storage, soil protection, forest products	Agricultural expansion, degradation, fire, invasive species, climate change
Savannas and rangelands	Large wildlife assemblages; migratory and dispersal landscapes	Pastoralism, tourism, carbon storage, forage, cultural values	Land subdivision, habitat fragmentation, drought, conflict, infrastructure
Coastal forests and woodlands	High endemism and specialized habitats	Coastal protection, forest products, tourism, cultural services	Clearing, settlement, agriculture, extraction, invasive species
Freshwater systems and wetlands	Aquatic species and critical habitats for birds and other fauna	Water supply, fisheries, flood regulation, nutrient cycling	Pollution, abstraction, drainage, sedimentation, catchment degradation
Coastal and marine ecosystems	Coral reefs, mangroves, seagrass and marine fauna	Fisheries, shoreline protection, tourism, carbon storage	Overfishing, coastal development, pollution, warming and ocean acidification
Agricultural landscapes	Genetic diversity of crops, livestock and associated wild species	Food production, pollination, soil functions and livelihoods	Intensification, pesticide pressure, habitat simplification, water stress

5. Forest Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

Kenya's forests are disproportionately important to biodiversity and human well-being because they combine habitat functions with water regulation, carbon storage, soil protection and livelihood benefits. The montane forests of Mount Kenya, the Aberdares and the Mau complex are especially important as water towers, while forests such as Kakamega and Arabuko-Sokoke contain distinctive biodiversity values. The national wildlife database describes montane and forest ecosystems as supporting endemic and threatened species and functioning as important water towers (WRTI, 2026).

Evidence from Kakamega illustrates the trade-off between conservation and competing land uses. Research has shown that the forest provides multiple ecosystem services whose value can be lost or altered through conversion, creating a need for integrated management rather than a narrow comparison of forest income with

agricultural returns (Mutoko et al., 2015). More recent work on the Cherangany Hills similarly identifies population growth, agricultural expansion, resource extraction, habitat degradation, climate change and invasive plants as interacting pressures, while highlighting restoration as a potential source of economic and environmental benefits (Muoria et al., 2025).

6. Wildlife, Protected Areas and Ecological Connectivity

Kenya's protected-area system remains a central pillar of biodiversity conservation. Kenya Wildlife Service currently describes a conservation framework organized into eight conservation areas and reports that protected areas under its system cover about 8.2% of Kenya's land mass (KWS, 2026). Protected-area management plans integrate ecological management, tourism, community partnership, security and park operations, reflecting the multiple objectives that conservation agencies must balance.

Protected areas alone, however, cannot secure all ecological processes. Many species depend on seasonal movements and dispersal between protected and non-protected lands. The national assessment of wildlife corridors and dispersal areas identifies degradation or loss of connectivity as a major conservation concern and links it to human–wildlife conflict and reduced livelihood and tourism opportunities (Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, 2017).

Conservation instrument	Primary function	Strengths	Implementation considerations
National parks/reserves	Protect core habitats and wildlife populations	Legal protection, management structures, tourism revenue	Boundary pressure, financing, conflict, ecological isolation
Community conservancies	Conserve biodiversity on community/private landscapes	Local stewardship, livelihood links, connectivity	Equitable benefit sharing, governance capacity, tenure security
Wildlife corridors	Connect habitats and migratory routes	Maintains movement and gene flow	Land-use planning, compensation/incentives, infrastructure design
Forest reserves and community forests	Protect forest biodiversity and ecosystem services	Water, carbon, habitat and livelihood benefits	Encroachment, extraction, restoration financing
Marine protected areas	Conserve marine habitats and fisheries	Habitat protection and ecological recovery	Enforcement, fishing livelihoods, climate stress
Restoration landscapes	Recover degraded habitats and ecological functions	Can deliver biodiversity and livelihood co-benefits	Species selection, long-term maintenance, monitoring

7. Community Conservation and Local Livelihoods

A defining feature of Kenyan conservation is the interaction between biodiversity and rural livelihoods. Pastoralists, farmers, forest-dependent households, fishers and tourism-linked enterprises can experience both benefits and costs from conservation. Where wildlife damages crops or livestock, imposes opportunity costs or restricts access to resources, conservation can be perceived as inequitable. Conversely, tourism income, employment, conservation payments, improved water resources and local governance can create incentives for stewardship.

The NBSAP explicitly calls for partnerships among government, counties, private actors, research institutions, NGOs and community-based organizations. Its implementation model therefore supports a more inclusive approach in which conservation responsibility is distributed across institutions rather than concentrated within protected-area agencies (Republic of Kenya, 2019).

8. Human–Wildlife Conflict

Human–wildlife conflict is a major social and ecological issue in Kenya because expanding settlement, agriculture, livestock production and infrastructure can overlap with wildlife ranges and corridors. The State of Environment reporting documents responses including barriers, telemetry, specialized response units and compensation or community support mechanisms (NEMA, 2021).

Long-term solutions require more than emergency wildlife response. Land-use planning, corridor protection, early-warning systems, appropriate fencing where ecologically justified, livestock husbandry, community-based monitoring and transparent compensation mechanisms can be combined according to local conditions. Conservation interventions should also consider whether infrastructure creates barriers to seasonal movement.

9. Climate Change and Biodiversity

Climate change adds a cross-cutting stressor to biodiversity management. Changes in rainfall, temperature, drought frequency, wildfire risk and extreme events can alter species distributions, water availability and ecosystem productivity. Climate impacts also interact with land degradation and resource demand, potentially increasing competition between people, livestock and wildlife.

Nature-based adaptation can therefore provide joint biodiversity and climate benefits. Forest restoration can improve catchment functions; wetland conservation can support flood regulation; rangeland restoration can strengthen drought resilience; and mangrove and coastal ecosystem protection can reduce exposure to coastal hazards. Such interventions should prioritize native ecosystem composition and ecological integrity rather than relying solely on tree-planting targets.

10. Coastal and Marine Biodiversity

Kenya's coastal zone contains ecologically valuable mangroves, seagrass beds, coral reefs, coastal forests, estuaries and marine habitats. These ecosystems support fisheries, tourism, shoreline protection and carbon storage. Their management requires coordination between conservation, fisheries, tourism, urban development and pollution control. Coastal biodiversity is also particularly exposed to warming seas, coral bleaching, coastal development and changes in sediment and freshwater flows.

11. Freshwater Biodiversity and Wetlands

Freshwater ecosystems connect catchment management with biodiversity and human development. Rivers, lakes and wetlands support aquatic organisms, migratory birds, fisheries, agriculture and domestic water supply. Degradation upstream can produce downstream effects through sedimentation, altered flows and pollution. Consequently, biodiversity planning should incorporate entire catchments rather than treating water bodies as isolated conservation sites.

12. Threats to Kenya's Biodiversity

Threat	Mechanism	Biodiversity consequence	Priority response
Habitat conversion	Agriculture, settlement and land-use change	Loss and simplification of habitat	Spatial planning, protection, restoration
Fragmentation	Roads, fencing, development and land subdivision	Reduced connectivity and gene flow	Corridor protection and wildlife-friendly infrastructure
Unsustainable extraction	Logging, fuelwood, hunting	Population declines and	Sustainable-use rules,

	and resource harvesting	ecosystem degradation	enforcement, alternatives
Climate change	Heat, drought, altered rainfall, fire and ocean warming	Range shifts, mortality and altered ecosystem function	Climate-resilient conservation and restoration
Invasive species	Introduction and spread of non-native organisms	Competition and ecosystem transformation	Early detection, control and restoration
Pollution	Agricultural, industrial, domestic and plastic waste	Toxicity and habitat degradation	Prevention, regulation and monitoring
Human–wildlife conflict	Spatial overlap of people and wildlife	Wildlife mortality and reduced social support	Coexistence planning and equitable compensation
Weak coordination/data gaps	Institutional fragmentation and limited monitoring	Delayed or poorly targeted decisions	Shared databases, indicators and adaptive governance

13. Biodiversity Governance and Policy

Kenya's biodiversity governance is supported by the Convention on Biological Diversity, national environmental legislation, wildlife and forest institutions, county governments and a network of conservation organizations. Kenya's NBSAP 2019–2030 is designed as a roadmap for biodiversity targets and assigns implementation roles across multiple actors (Republic of Kenya, 2019).

The governance challenge is not simply the absence of policies. It is the translation of national objectives into coordinated local implementation, financing, enforcement, monitoring and incentives. Biodiversity outcomes may be affected by decisions in agriculture, roads, energy, mining, water, urban development and tourism. Mainstreaming biodiversity into sectoral and county planning is therefore essential.

14. Biodiversity Finance and Sustainable Tourism

Tourism can provide financial support for biodiversity conservation, particularly in wildlife-rich landscapes, but dependence on tourism also creates vulnerability to shocks and can concentrate benefits unevenly. A diversified financing portfolio can include public budgets, conservation trust funds, tourism revenues, payments for ecosystem services, climate finance, biodiversity offsets where appropriately regulated, philanthropic resources and private investment.

Financial mechanisms should be evaluated not only by revenue generated but by conservation additionality, distributional effects, transparency and long-term ecological outcomes. Community benefit-sharing is particularly important where local residents bear opportunity costs associated with maintaining habitat.

15. Biodiversity Information, Research and Monitoring

Effective biodiversity policy depends on reliable information about species, habitats, ecological processes and threats. Kenya has important scientific and institutional capacity through agencies, universities, museums, research organizations and conservation groups. Nevertheless, monitoring can be uneven across taxa and landscapes, and data may not be sufficiently integrated for rapid decision-making.

A national biodiversity information architecture could link species observations, protected-area monitoring, forest inventories, wildlife movement data, restoration sites, land-cover change and ecosystem-service indicators. Open standards and interoperable datasets would facilitate research while appropriate safeguards would be needed for sensitive species locations and community data.

16. Strategic Framework for Biodiversity Conservation in Kenya

Strategic pillar	Core actions	Illustrative indicators
Protect intact ecosystems	Strengthen protection of high-biodiversity forests, wetlands, rangelands and marine habitats	Habitat condition; protected habitat; threatened-species trends
Restore degraded landscapes	Prioritize ecological restoration based on biodiversity and ecosystem-service value	Area restored; native-species recovery; ecosystem-function indicators
Secure connectivity	Protect corridors, dispersal areas and ecological stepping stones	Corridor integrity; movement success; connectivity metrics
Strengthen community governance	Improve tenure, participation, benefit sharing and local conservation finance	Community benefits; participation; local conservation agreements
Integrate biodiversity into development	Mainstream biodiversity into county plans, infrastructure and sector policies	Projects screened; mitigation compliance; biodiversity safeguards
Build knowledge systems	Expand monitoring, taxonomy, remote sensing and biodiversity data infrastructure	Data coverage; monitoring frequency; decision-use of biodiversity information
Mobilize sustainable finance	Combine public, tourism, climate and biodiversity finance	Funding diversity; conservation expenditure; long-term financing commitments
Adapt to climate change	Use ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-sensitive conservation planning	Climate risk assessments; resilience indicators; drought/fire preparedness

17. Discussion

The evidence reviewed indicates that Kenya's biodiversity challenge is fundamentally a landscape and governance challenge. Protected areas remain indispensable, but ecological processes frequently extend beyond their boundaries. Corridors, community lands, forests, wetlands, farms and coastal areas therefore form part of the effective conservation estate. The implication is not that protected areas should be weakened, but that they should be embedded within wider ecological and socioeconomic systems.

A second conclusion concerns the relationship between biodiversity and livelihoods. Conservation cannot be treated solely as the protection of wildlife from people when communities depend on the same landscapes for food, water and income. At the same time, short-term economic gains from habitat conversion can impose long-term costs through lost water regulation, soil degradation, reduced tourism value and diminished resilience. Policy should therefore improve the distribution and visibility of ecosystem benefits while reducing local conservation costs.

Third, restoration should be approached strategically. The most valuable restoration sites are not necessarily those where the largest number of trees can be planted. Priority should be given to degraded ecosystems where restoration can reconnect habitats, recover threatened species, protect water systems or strengthen livelihood resilience. The Cherangany Hills assessment provides an example of linking restoration scenarios with economic and environmental outcomes (Muoria et al., 2025).

18. Recommendations

1. Adopt landscape-scale biodiversity planning that links protected areas with community lands, corridors, forests, wetlands, farms and coastal ecosystems.

2. Prioritize protection of remaining intact and high-integrity ecosystems before relying on restoration to compensate for further loss.

3. Integrate biodiversity safeguards into county spatial plans and major infrastructure, energy, agricultural and urban-development decisions.

4. Strengthen community participation, tenure security and transparent benefit-sharing in conservation areas where local people bear significant opportunity costs.

5. Develop corridor-specific conservation agreements and land-use incentives for wildlife dispersal areas and migratory routes.

6. Expand ecological restoration using native species and locally appropriate reference ecosystems, with measurable biodiversity outcomes.

7. Improve biodiversity monitoring through interoperable national and county datasets, remote sensing, field surveys and long-term ecological research.

8. Diversify biodiversity finance and require transparent reporting on how conservation revenues and tourism-related benefits are distributed.

9. Strengthen integrated responses to human–wildlife conflict, combining prevention, land-use planning, rapid response and credible compensation mechanisms.

10. Mainstream climate adaptation into biodiversity planning, especially for drylands, montane forests, freshwater systems and coastal ecosystems.

11. Support taxonomy, conservation genetics, ecological research and biodiversity education to strengthen national scientific capacity.

12. Use periodic national and county biodiversity assessments to update priorities and apply adaptive management.

19. Limitations

This review is based on secondary evidence and does not provide new field measurements, species inventories or causal estimates of policy impacts. Kenya's biodiversity indicators are produced by different institutions using different methods and reporting years, so numerical comparisons should be interpreted cautiously. Some ecosystem-level information is more developed than species-level information, and evidence from well-studied landscapes may not represent less-monitored areas. Future research should combine standardized biodiversity monitoring with socioeconomic analysis at county and landscape scales.

20. Conclusion

Kenya's biodiversity is a foundational component of ecological resilience, livelihoods, cultural identity and economic activity. Its conservation requires more than protecting isolated wildlife populations. Forests, rangelands, wetlands, freshwater systems, coastal habitats, agricultural landscapes and wildlife corridors interact as a connected social–ecological system. Kenya's NBSAP provides a broad policy basis for coordinated action, while protected areas, community conservancies, restoration initiatives and ecosystem-service approaches provide complementary instruments.

The strategic priority should be to retain remaining ecological integrity, restore degraded systems, secure connectivity and ensure that communities are meaningful participants and beneficiaries of conservation. Better biodiversity information, stronger cross-sectoral planning and diversified financing can support these objectives. Ultimately, biodiversity conservation in Kenya should be understood not as a competing objective to development but as part of the ecological infrastructure on which durable development depends.

References

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (2021). Kenya: Sixth National Report to the Convention on Biological Diversity. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS). (2026). Protected Area Management. Government of Kenya.

Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS). (2026). Management Plans for National Parks, Reserves and Sanctuaries. Government of Kenya.

Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources. (2017). Report on Wildlife Corridors and Dispersal Areas in Kenya. Government of Kenya.

Muoria, P. K., Wabuyele, E., Gacheru, P., Ngaruiya, G. W., Amadi, J. A., Waichoka, J., Kamau, J. W., Njenga, P., Karanja, R., Mutunga, J., Kimani, J., Lagat, M. J., Ruto, D., Kipruto, C., Mbuvi, M. T. E., Mwadalu, R., & Mwamodenyi, J. M. (2025). Cherangany Hills Forest Ecosystem Services Assessment Report. Kenyatta University / Nature Kenya.

Mutoko, M. C., Hein, L., & Shisanya, C. A. (2015). Tropical forest conservation versus conversion trade-offs: Insights from analysis of ecosystem services provided by Kakamega rainforest in Kenya. Ecosystem Services, 14, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.03.003

National Environment Management Authority (NEMA). (2021). Kenya State of Environment Report 2019–2021. Government of Kenya.

Republic of Kenya. (2019). National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan 2019–2030. Ministry of Environment and Forestry, Government of Kenya.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2012). The Role and Contribution of Montane Forests and Related Ecosystem Services to the Kenyan Economy. UNEP.

World Resources and Training Institute (WRTI). (2026). National Wildlife Database: Montane and Forest Ecosystems. Government of Kenya.

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (2004). Kenya: National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2003). Forestry and Forest Biodiversity Conservation: Kenya Country Report. FAO.