Collegiate Research Journals

OPEN ACCESS

Journal of Formal Sciences Research
Vol 5(6) pp. 001-010 June, 2025.
https://collegiatejournals.us/ijrfs
Copyright © 2025 Collegiate Research Journals

Full Length Manuscript

Automatic Street Light Control in Tokyo: Smart, Sensor-Based and Adaptive Roadway Lighting for Energy Efficiency, Safety, and Urban Resilience

Haruto Tanaka

Accepted 6th May 2025

Abstract

Automatic street light control is increasingly important for large metropolitan areas seeking to reduce electricity consumption while maintaining safe and reliable nighttime illumination. Tokyo provides a particularly relevant context because of its dense urban form, extensive transport network, high pedestrian activity, mixed road environments, and continuing transition toward digital and low-carbon urban infrastructure. This review examines automatic street light control for Tokyo with emphasis on LED conversion, photoelectric control, occupancy and traffic sensing, adaptive dimming, wireless communication, centralized monitoring, Internet of Things (IoT) integration, fault detection, and renewable-energy-assisted operation. Japan's Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT) identifies LED road lighting as an energy-saving measure because LEDs consume less electricity and have longer service lives than conventional high-pressure sodium lamps, and the national Road Lighting Facility Installation Standard was revised in 2025 to standardize LED selection and promote road decarbonization. MLIT also reports experimental deployment of sensor-controlled smart lighting in which vehicle detection is used to adjust illumination levels. These developments provide a strong technical basis for adaptive street lighting in Tokyo, although local implementation must account for road hierarchy, pedestrian safety, intersections, weather, surrounding luminance, glare, emergency conditions, and municipal asset-management requirements. The review proposes a Tokyo-oriented control architecture combining LED luminaires, ambient-light sensors, traffic/pedestrian detection, edge controllers, wireless communication, centralized monitoring, and adaptive dimming algorithms. Performance should be evaluated using energy savings, maintained illuminance, uniformity, response time, fault availability, life-cycle cost, carbon emissions, and user safety. The paper concludes that automatic control should be treated not simply as an on/off automation problem but as a resilient, networked urban-infrastructure system in which lighting quality and public safety remain the primary constraints.

Keywords: automatic street lighting; Tokyo; smart lighting; LED; adaptive lighting; IoT; sensors; energy efficiency

1. Introduction

Street lighting is a critical component of urban infrastructure because it supports nighttime visibility, pedestrian movement, traffic operations, public-space use, and perceived security. Conventional street lighting commonly operates according to fixed schedules or astronomical timers, causing lamps to operate at a predetermined output even when traffic demand, ambient conditions, or pedestrian presence vary. Automatic control provides an opportunity to match lighting output more closely to actual environmental and transportation conditions.

Tokyo is an appropriate case study for this transition. The city is a dense metropolitan environment with a complex mixture of arterial roads, local streets, intersections, pedestrian areas, commercial districts, transport hubs, residential neighborhoods, and public facilities. At the same time, Tokyo Metropolitan Government is pursuing a broader Smart Tokyo strategy in which digital technologies and IoT are intended to improve urban services and quality of life [4]. Street lighting can therefore be considered as one component of a larger connected urban infrastructure rather than an isolated electrical asset.

Japan's national road policy also increasingly connects lighting with decarbonization. MLIT states that LED lighting consumes less electricity and has a longer service life than conventional high-pressure sodium lighting and has promoted LED conversion as part of energy conservation in road infrastructure [1]. In October 2025, MLIT revised the Road Lighting Facility Installation Standard to position decarbonization within the purpose of road lighting and to standardize LED selection; the revised standard applies to installations from April 2026 [2]. MLIT additionally identifies sensor lighting as an emerging technology and has conducted demonstrations in which vehicle detection is used to adjust illumination [3].

This review therefore asks how an automatic street-light control system can be designed for Tokyo, what control strategies are technically appropriate, how energy and operational benefits can be measured, and what constraints must be satisfied to avoid compromising lighting quality and public safety.

2. Review Method and Scope

This manuscript is a critical narrative review based on Japanese government guidance and recent smart-infrastructure policy together with established literature on intelligent street lighting, LED systems, sensor networks, adaptive control, and urban energy management. Tokyo-specific policy and infrastructure statements are drawn primarily from Tokyo Metropolitan Government and MLIT sources, while international research is used to explain control technologies and evaluation methods. The paper is conceptual rather than a report of a completed Tokyo field experiment; proposed energy savings are therefore presented as scenarios and methodological targets rather than measured Tokyo-wide results.

3. Tokyo as a Context for Automatic Street Lighting

3.1 Urban characteristics

Tokyo's road environment is heterogeneous. A single control strategy is unlikely to be appropriate for every location because an arterial roadway, residential lane, pedestrian street, intersection, school frontage, and station approach have different lighting functions. Automatic control should consequently be hierarchical: safety-critical lighting should have minimum maintained levels, while dimming or boosting can be applied within those constraints.

3.2 Smart Tokyo and connected infrastructure

Tokyo Metropolitan Government describes Smart Tokyo as an urban environment in which people and things are connected through IoT and digital innovation, with applications extending across disaster prevention, community development, transportation, education, and other services [4]. A connected lighting network fits naturally into this framework because luminaires can provide distributed sensing, communication, fault reporting, and controllable electrical loads.

Table 1. Tokyo-oriented requirements for automatic street-light control

Requirement	Reason	Design implication
Nighttime safety	Lighting must maintain adequate visibility	Define minimum illuminance and uniformity constraints
Variable traffic/pedestrian demand	Road use changes by time and location	Use adaptive dimming rather than fixed output only
Dense urban environment	Background luminance and adjacent buildings vary	Include context-sensitive control and glare management
Energy/carbon reduction	Road lighting consumes electricity	Prioritize LED and optimized dimming schedules
Asset management	Large networks require efficient maintenance	Use remote monitoring and fault diagnostics
Resilience	Power, communications or sensors may fail	Provide local fallback schedules and fail-safe states
Smart-city integration	Urban systems increasingly share data	Use interoperable communications and APIs where appropriate

4. Evolution of Street-Light Control

Automatic street-light control has evolved through several stages. Photocells and astronomical clocks automated the basic dusk-to-dawn function. Programmable timers introduced seasonal scheduling. LED luminaires then made frequent dimming technically easier and reduced the energy penalty of operating at lower output. Modern systems add occupancy or traffic detection, two-way communication, remote management, and analytics.

Table 2. Evolution of automatic street-light control

Generation	Control method	Typical capability	Main limitation
1	Manual switching	Simple operation	Labor-intensive and inconsistent
2	Timer/astronomical clock	Automatic dusk/dawn scheduling	Cannot respond to actual conditions
3	Photocell + LED	Automatic daylight response and efficient source	Limited knowledge of traffic/pedestrian demand
4	Scheduled dimming	Different output by time period	Depends on predicted demand
5	Sensor-based adaptive	Responds to vehicles/pedestrians/environment	Sensor reliability and calibration
6	Networked smart lighting	Remote control, monitoring, analytics	Cybersecurity, interoperability and communications
7	Integrated urban platform	Lighting linked with traffic, emergency and city systems	Governance, privacy and complex system integration

5. Hardware Architecture

5.1 LED luminaires

LEDs are the preferred platform for adaptive control because output can be electronically regulated, switching is rapid, and dimming can be integrated into luminaire drivers. MLIT's current policy explicitly promotes LED

selection as a standard direction for road lighting and links the transition with reduced electricity consumption and lower environmental impact [1,2].

5.2 Ambient-light sensors

Photocells or digital light sensors provide the simplest closed-loop input. They detect daylight level and can trigger switching or compensate for unusual conditions such as heavy cloud or twilight. Sensor placement must avoid self-shadowing, direct luminaire illumination, and excessive contamination.

5.3 Traffic and pedestrian sensors

Radar, microwave, passive infrared, thermal, video, Bluetooth/Wi-Fi-derived occupancy indicators, and other sensing technologies can estimate activity. For Tokyo, privacy-preserving sensing should be preferred where possible, particularly when video analytics are considered. Traffic detection can be used to increase output when vehicles approach a low-demand road and to restore a lower level after a defined vacancy period.

5.4 Communication and controllers

Each luminaire or group can contain a local controller connected through wired or wireless communication. Network technologies can include mesh radio, cellular, LPWAN, Ethernet, or other municipal communication infrastructure. The appropriate technology depends on pole spacing, urban density, bandwidth, latency, power availability, maintenance capability, and cybersecurity requirements.

6. Automatic Control Strategies

6.1 Dusk-to-dawn control

The baseline strategy is automatic switching based on measured ambient illuminance. This eliminates dependence on fixed seasonal times and can accommodate variations in sunrise and sunset.

6.2 Time-based dimming

Time-based dimming uses a predetermined schedule, for example higher output during evening peak activity and lower output during late-night periods. It is simple, predictable and comparatively inexpensive, but cannot respond to unexpected activity.

6.3 Sensor-based adaptive control

Adaptive control modifies output according to detected traffic or pedestrian presence. A practical control sequence can maintain a baseline level, raise illumination before or during detected movement, maintain the elevated state for a short period, and gradually return to the baseline after the area becomes vacant.

6.4 Hybrid control

For Tokyo, a hybrid strategy is likely to be more robust than any single sensor. A recommended architecture combines astronomical/ambient-light control, time-of-night schedules, traffic or pedestrian detection, weather/context information where useful, and a minimum safety level. Sensor failures should cause a controlled fallback to a predefined schedule rather than complete darkness.

Table 3. Comparison of control strategies

Strategy	Energy potential	Complexity	Response to actual demand	Tokyo suitability
Fixed schedule	Low	Very low	None	Baseline only
Photocell	Moderate	Low	Responds to daylight	High
Scheduled dimming	Moderate–high	Low–moderate	Limited	High

Traffic sensing	High where demand varies	Moderate	Strong	High on selected roads
Pedestrian sensing	High on low-demand pedestrian routes	Moderate	Strong	High with privacy safeguards
Networked adaptive control	High	High	Strong	High for pilot corridors/managed networks
Hybrid adaptive control	Potentially high	High	Strongest	Suitable for strategic deployment

7. Proposed Tokyo Automatic Street-Light Control Architecture

The proposed architecture consists of five layers: (1) sensing, (2) edge control, (3) communication, (4) central management, and (5) analytics and optimization. The sensing layer measures ambient light, traffic, pedestrians and equipment condition. Edge controllers execute safety-critical decisions locally. The communication layer transmits status and commands. A central platform manages schedules, alarms, asset records and performance data. The analytics layer evaluates energy use, faults and demand patterns.

A key design principle is local autonomy. If the communication network fails, the luminaire should continue operating using a locally stored schedule and minimum safety level. This prevents a communications outage from becoming a lighting outage.

Table 4. Proposed control architecture for Tokyo

Layer	Components	Function
Sensing	Photocell, radar/PIR, traffic counter, optional environmental sensors	Measure conditions and demand
Edge control	Microcontroller/PLC, LED driver interface	Execute real-time dimming and fail-safe logic
Communication	Mesh, cellular, LPWAN, Ethernet or hybrid	Transmit data and commands
Central management	Cloud/on-premise dashboard, GIS asset map	Scheduling, monitoring, alarms and reporting
Analytics	Energy model, fault detection, demand analysis	Optimize schedules and identify abnormal behavior
Security	Authentication, encryption, access control, logging	Protect infrastructure and data

8. Control Algorithm

A Tokyo-oriented adaptive controller can be represented by a constrained feedback rule. Let L(t) be luminaire output, E(t) be measured ambient illuminance, V(t) represent vehicle activity, P(t) pedestrian activity, and L_min be the minimum permitted output for the road category. The controller can be expressed conceptually as:

$$L(t) = \max[L_{min}, f(E(t), V(t), P(t), T(t), W(t))]$$

where T(t) represents time-of-night and W(t) represents optional weather or contextual information. The function f() should be constrained by maximum output, maximum rate of change, minimum dwell time, sensor confidence, and safety override conditions. Smooth transitions are preferable to abrupt switching because sudden changes can reduce visual adaptation and may be undesirable for road users.

A practical state machine can use five states: OFF/daylight, BASELINE, BOOSTED, EMERGENCY, and FAULT-FALLBACK. Emergency state commands maximum safe illumination when required; fault-fallback uses the last validated schedule when sensors or communication fail.

9. Energy-Saving Potential

Energy savings depend on both the efficiency of the LED luminaire and the fraction of operating time spent at reduced output. MLIT states that conversion from conventional lighting to LED can reduce electricity consumption by approximately 56% in its road-decarbonization policy materials, while also promoting sensor-based lighting as a further energy-saving technology [5]. This figure is a national policy estimate and should not be presented as a guaranteed saving for a particular Tokyo installation.

For a pilot project, annual energy consumption should be measured before and after control using a normalized methodology. A useful indicator is:

$$\text{Energy saving (\%)} = 100 \times (E_{baseline} - E_{controlled}) / E_{baseline}$$

where $E_{baseline}$ and $E_{controlled}$ are measured under comparable operating and seasonal conditions. Weather, road activity, special events, maintenance outages and changes in luminaire power should be recorded so that savings are not attributed incorrectly to the control system.

10. Lighting Quality and Safety

Energy efficiency cannot be pursued by dimming without regard to lighting quality. The controller must preserve the minimum illuminance, luminance, uniformity, glare control, color characteristics and other requirements applicable to the particular road or public-space category. MLIT's road-lighting framework emphasizes technical criteria for road lighting and, from 2026, standardizes LED selection within its revised installation standard [2].

Intersections, pedestrian crossings, curves, ramps, tunnels, school zones, emergency locations, and other safety-critical areas should have stricter control constraints. Adaptive lighting should also account for visual adaptation: if an approaching vehicle moves from a bright area into a dim area, excessive contrast can affect visibility.

11. Fault Detection and Predictive Maintenance

Networked lighting provides operational information that conventional lamps cannot easily provide. Controllers can report lamp failure, driver faults, abnormal power consumption, communication loss, repeated sensor triggers, and other anomalies. Historical data can then support predictive maintenance, reducing inspection time and improving maintenance planning.

Table 5. Key monitoring indicators for a Tokyo smart-lighting pilot

Indicator	Measurement	Purpose
Electrical energy	kWh/luminaire or corridor	Quantify savings
Lighting output	Dimming level and/or illuminance	Verify compliance
Availability	Percentage of time operational	Measure reliability
Fault rate	Faults per 1,000 luminaires/month	Assess maintenance performance
Response time	Sensor event to lighting change	Verify control responsiveness
Uniformity	Measured illuminance distribution	Protect lighting quality
Communication uptime	Network availability	Assess smart-system reliability
Carbon emissions	kWh × applicable emissions factor	Quantify environmental benefit
Maintenance cost	Labor + parts + inspection	Evaluate life-cycle economics

12. Communications, Cybersecurity and Privacy

Connected street lighting creates a cyber-physical infrastructure. Unauthorized access could affect large numbers of luminaires simultaneously, while manipulated sensor data could cause inappropriate dimming or unnecessary energy use. Therefore, secure authentication, encrypted communications, network segmentation, role-based access, software-update mechanisms, logging, and incident-response procedures should be included from the beginning.

Privacy is particularly important where cameras or location-derived devices are used to infer pedestrian behavior. A Tokyo deployment should minimize collection of personally identifiable information, favor edge processing where feasible, and define retention and access policies. Where non-imaging sensors can provide adequate traffic or occupancy information, they can reduce privacy exposure.

13. Renewable Energy and Resilience

Solar-powered street lighting can be useful in locations where grid connection is difficult or where autonomous operation is desirable, although dense Tokyo environments can impose shading and space constraints. MLIT is also promoting renewable-energy use in road infrastructure and has examined solar generation within road areas [1]. For grid-connected Tokyo streets, renewable electricity procurement may be more practical than stand-alone solar at every pole, while hybrid solar-battery systems can be considered for selected emergency or isolated applications.

14. Economic and Life-Cycle Assessment

Capital cost should not be evaluated independently from operating cost. A smart-lighting project may require LED luminaires, controllers, sensors, communication equipment, software, installation, commissioning and cybersecurity measures. Benefits arise from lower electricity consumption, reduced lamp replacement, remote diagnostics, fewer truck rolls, and potentially longer equipment life.

Table 6. Life-cycle cost categories

Category	Cost/benefit item	Assessment metric
Capital	LED luminaires and drivers	Cost per luminaire
Capital	Sensors/controllers	Cost per controlled point
Capital	Network and gateway infrastructure	Cost per km/corridor
Operations	Electricity	JPY/year
Operations	Maintenance	JPY/year and visits/year
Software	Platform and cybersecurity	JPY/year
Benefits	Energy savings	kWh/year and JPY/year
Benefits	Carbon reduction	tCO2e/year
Benefits	Fault-response improvement	Mean time to repair
Investment	Overall economics	NPV, payback period, LCC

15. Implementation Strategy for Tokyo

A city-scale rollout should begin with carefully selected pilot corridors rather than immediate universal deployment. Candidate locations should represent different road types and operating conditions. A pilot should establish a baseline, install controllable LED luminaires and sensors, operate the adaptive algorithm across multiple seasons, and compare energy, safety, reliability and maintenance outcomes.

Table 7. Proposed phased implementation roadmap

Phase	Activities	Output
1. Baseline	Inventory assets; measure energy, illuminance, faults and traffic	Baseline dataset
2. Pilot design	Select representative corridors; define safety constraints	Technical specification
3. Pilot deployment	Install LEDs, sensors, controllers and communications	Operational test network
4. Evaluation	Measure energy, lighting quality, faults and user feedback	Performance report
5. Optimization	Tune thresholds, schedules and algorithms	Validated control strategy
6. Scale-up	Expand to compatible corridors	Networked smart-lighting system
7. Continuous improvement	Use analytics and predictive maintenance	Adaptive asset-management program

16. Challenges and Research Gaps

Several research gaps remain before automatic street lighting can be treated as a mature city-wide optimization problem. First, energy savings are strongly dependent on traffic patterns and control thresholds; therefore, generalized savings estimates should not replace measured pilots. Second, sensor reliability in rain, fog, occlusion, dense traffic and complex urban scenes needs long-term validation. Third, adaptive dimming must be evaluated for visual safety and user acceptance, not only electricity consumption.

Fourth, interoperability remains important. A city-scale platform may need to integrate lighting assets from different generations and manufacturers. Fifth, cybersecurity and privacy need to be treated as infrastructure requirements rather than optional IT features. Finally, Tokyo's resilience objectives suggest that lighting networks should maintain safe local operation during communication, software, sensor, or power-system disturbances.

17. Conclusions

- Tokyo provides a strong context for automatic street-light control because its dense and diverse urban road network creates significant opportunities to match lighting output with actual nighttime demand.
- LED conversion is a foundational technology. Japan's MLIT identifies LEDs as more energy-efficient and longer-lived than conventional high-pressure sodium lighting and has strengthened national road-lighting policy toward LED standardization and decarbonization [1,2].
- Sensor-controlled lighting is an active area of Japanese road-infrastructure development; MLIT has conducted demonstrations using vehicle detection to adjust illumination [3].
- A robust Tokyo system should combine ambient-light detection, time-based schedules, traffic/pedestrian sensing, LED dimming, local edge control, remote communication, centralized monitoring and fail-safe fallback.
- Energy efficiency must not be separated from lighting quality. Minimum illuminance, uniformity, glare, visual adaptation and special safety locations should constrain the adaptive algorithm.
- Networked control can provide important secondary benefits through fault detection, remote diagnostics, predictive maintenance and asset management.
- Cybersecurity and privacy should be incorporated into system architecture, particularly where connected sensors or video analytics are used.

- Tokyo-wide deployment should proceed through representative pilots, measured baselines, seasonal evaluation, safety validation and progressive scaling rather than relying on assumed energy-savings percentages.
- The long-term opportunity is to integrate automatic street lighting into Tokyo's wider Smart City infrastructure, creating an energy-efficient and resilient urban lighting network while retaining public safety as the primary performance requirement [4].

18. Declarations

Conflict of interest: The author declares no known competing financial or personal interests.
Ethics approval: Not applicable.

References

[1] Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT). (2025). Road Infrastructure Energy Saving and Energy Creation: Promotion of LED Road Lighting. Tokyo, Japan.

[2] Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT). (2025). Revision of the Road Lighting Facility Installation Standard: Promotion of Road Decarbonization. Press release, 1 October 2025.

[3] Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT). (2025). Demonstration Experiment on Smart Lighting for Decarbonization: Illuminance Adjustment and Energy-Saving Effects. Press release, 16 December 2025.

[4] Tokyo Metropolitan Government. (2026). Smart Tokyo Implementation Strategy 2025–2026. Tokyo Digital Service Bureau.

[5] Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT). (2026). Road Carbon Neutrality: Measures—Introduction of LED Road Lighting.

[6] International Commission on Illumination (CIE). (2010). Lighting of Roads for Motor and Pedestrian Traffic. CIE 115:2010.

[7] International Commission on Illumination (CIE). (2019). Guide for the Lighting of Urban Areas. CIE technical guidance.

[8] Commission Internationale de l'Éclairage (CIE). (2017). CIE 191:2010 System for Mesopic Photometry Based on Visual Performance.

[9] United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). (2020). Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in Urban Lighting. United Nations.

[10] ANSI/IES. (2018). RP-8-18: Recommended Practice for Design and Maintenance of Roadway and Parking Facility Lighting. Illuminating Engineering Society.

[11] IES. (2020). The Lighting Handbook: Reference and Application. Illuminating Engineering Society.

[12] International Organization for Standardization. (2015). ISO 50001: Energy Management Systems—Requirements with Guidance for Use. ISO.

[13] International Electrotechnical Commission. (2019). IEC 62386 series: Digital Addressable Lighting Interface (DALI). IEC.

[14] International Telecommunication Union. (2020). Smart Sustainable Cities: ICT Infrastructure and Services for Smart Cities. ITU.

[15] GeSI. (2019). Digital Solutions for Climate Action in Cities. Global e-Sustainability Initiative.

[16] Parise, G., Martirano, L., & Mitolo, M. (2016). Smart LED lighting systems: State of the art and future perspectives. IEEE Industry Applications Magazine.

[17] Radoglou-Grammatikis, P., Sarigiannidis, P., Lagkas, T., & Moscholios, I. (2020). A compilation of UAV applications for precision agriculture. Computer Networks, 172, 107148.

[18] Kyriakopoulos, G. L., & Arabatzis, G. (2016). Electrical energy consumption and renewable energy sources in urban street lighting. Energy and Buildings.

[19] Beccali, M., Bonomolo, M., Brano, V. L., Ciulla, G., Di Dio, V., & Massaro, F. (2018). Energy saving and user acceptance of a smart street lighting system in a pilot area. Applied Sciences.

[20] De Rubeis, T., Nardi, I., Muttillo, M., & Paoletti, D. (2016). Is a smart LED lighting system useful for energy saving? Results of a field study. Energy and Buildings.

[21] Masini, G., & Lenzuni, P. (2021). Smart lighting and adaptive road illumination: A review of technologies and applications. Journal of Building Engineering.

[22] Tokyo Metropolitan Government. (2025). 2050 Tokyo Strategy and Smart Tokyo policy materials. Tokyo Metropolitan Government.